INTRODUCTION

There has been a rapid global and national increase in the prevalence of breast cancer which is one of the most complex diseases of modern age. The upward trend in its prevalence has turned this disease into a serious public-health problem (1-3). According to statistical cancer data released by the World Health Organization (WHO) 18.1 million of new cancer cases emerge each year globe wide (4). Breast cancer is the most common cancer type in women and is estimated to affect more than 10% of women (5).

In most societies female breast symbolizes sexuality, aesthetic image, and maternal feelings. Such sentimentality attributed to this body part is predictive of associated psychological concerns and intensity of these concerns. Losing a breast, when associated with catastrophic symbols, may lead to a great trauma in any patient who cannot stand even the idea of losing her breast. Surgical interventions threaten integrity of the patient, thereby weakening physical and mental self-confidence of the...
The patient is overwhelmed with a constant worry on the change in her physical image, loss of authority on her body, decrease in sexual attraction and function, disconnection with the surrounding circle and friends (6,7).

Although challenged with a great number of adversities, patients still have to continue medical treatments too. Mastectomy in breast surgery leads to underlying worries on reconstruction, fertility, likelihood of familial predisposition and specific concerns associated with the sexual symbolization of breast. This severe physical loss adversely affects body image and self-esteem of a woman (8).

In recent years there has been a rise in the number of women having received post-mastectomy reconstructive prostheses. An individual may resort to breast reconstruction to eliminate the repercussions of breast loss and distorted image of her body. This intervention is remarkably important in enhancing women’s psychological and social functionality (9,10).

In relevant literature there is an abundance of studies analyzing the effects of mastectomy and post-mastectomy reconstructive operation on patients’ self-esteem (11-14), yet there is a limited focus on its direct effect on self-efficacy belief. There are greater numbers of studies that examined the relationship of self-efficacy defined as “beliefs in one’s capabilities to organize and execute the courses of action required to produce given attainments” (15) in tandem with some other psychological factors.

In a study conducted by Foster et. al on 182 cancer patients aged between 23–79; the connection of self-efficacy belief with socio-demographic features of the patient, characteristics of the disease, positive thoughts on being healthy, depressive thoughts, disease perception and available social support have been examined (16). The research concluded that self-efficacy belief was not correlated with patients’ age, marital status, professional status, cancer type, duration after the treatment, and a comorbid disease. It was identified that high self-efficacy belief of patients was correlated with positive thoughts on being healthy. Low self-efficacy belief led to a surge in intensified worries on the disease. Based on the research outcome it was argued that low self-efficacy belief was linked with pessimism stemming from depression. Besides it became clear that patients’ perception of the disease as a threat was associated with a low level of self-efficacy belief (16).

An individual’s personal aptitude in stress management, self-efficacy and adaptation talents help decrease worries. In cancer patients it was monitored that self-efficacy belief was effective in symptom management and promoting healthy behaviors. A study comprising of 152 patients and 152 care-givers manifested that patients with high self-efficacy level less frequently complained to their care-givers about their pain and demonstrated no symptoms of depression and anxiety. In the same vein, care-givers with high self-efficacy belief coped with the symptoms of their patient more comfortably (17).

In light of relevant literature it is hypothesized that self-efficacy beliefs played a positive and critical role in crisis management and attitudes during the treatment of mastectomy and post-mastectomy patients having undergone a reconstructive operation. The aim of this present study is to examine the effects of surgery method and applied therapy combinations on patients’ depression, self-esteem, and self-efficacy levels.

**METHODS**

**Study Participants and Procedure**

Participants

Research sample consisted of 240 women. Patient group consisted of 75 mastectomy patients (Mage=52.11±9.26) and 32 patients having undergone post-mastectomy breast reconstructive operation (Mage=44.03±5.63) who visited, after diagnosed with breast-cancer, Medical Oncology Clinic and Breast Policlinic of the City Hospital between January and July 2018. Control group consisted of 133 healthy women (Mage=37.20±8.50). People who were diagnosed with any psychiatric disorder among patients and healthy group were excluded. Data on the demographic features of participants is as seen in Table 1.
As demonstrated in Table 1, 59 (78.7%) of mastectomy patients, 28 (87.5%) of reconstructive surgery patients and 93 (69.9%) of healthy group are married. In terms of educational levels, a vast majority (64%) of mastectomy patients are elementary and secondary school graduates; a vast majority (40.6%) of reconstructive surgery patients are high-school and a vast majority (72.2%) of healthy group are university graduates. In reconstructive group and healthy ones, the lowest educational level is elementary and secondary while in mastectomy group 12% is only literate. In terms of socioeconomic level in three groups; the highest ratio (alternately 56%, 81.3% and 78.9%) belonged to middle socioeconomic level. In terms of having a child, top ratio (90.7%) belonged to mastectomy group followed by reconstructive group (87.5%) and healthy group (68.4%).

Data on clinical features of patients are as exhibited in Table 2. Mastectomy patients were diagnosed at an approximate age of 48 (±8.23), reconstructive patients at an approximate age of 41 (±5.17). In both patient groups it was seen that surgery was performed in a relatively short waiting interval (one year) after diagnosis age. In terms of surgery type a vast majority of both patient groups underwent total mastectomy.

**Psychometric Instruments**

**Personal Information Form:** Since in the study two distinctive groups existed- those diagnosed with breast-cancer and healthy ones free from breast cancer- two individualized Personal Information Forms were developed and administered. In the Information Form of participants with breast-cancer, date of birth, marital status, education level, mean annual income level, number of children, comorbid chronic diseases, diagnosis age, duration after the diagnosis, applied therapies, date of surgical operation, duration after the surgery, surgery type, breast reconstruction, and level of satisfaction with reconstructive operation were listed. In the Information Form of Healthy participants; date of birth, marital status, education level, average annual income level, and number of children were listed.

**The Self-Efficacy Scale:** Developed by Sherer et al the scale contains 23 items (18). Turkish validity and reliability studies of the scale was performed by Yildirim
and Ilhan (19). At the end of factor analyses, Magaletta and Oliver deducted the scale into 17 items (20). Each question received a score in the range of 1 to 5. Items 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17 were inversely scored and total score of the scale varied between 17 and 85. A higher score from the scale indicated elevated level of self-efficacy belief. Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of Turkish version corresponded to 0.80, split-half reliability coefficient was 0.77, test-retest reliability correlation coefficient was 0.69. Factor structure of the scale was analyzed via exploratory factor analysis in which a three-factor structure with an eigenvalue above one and which explained 41.5% of total variance was then obtained. These factors were respectively such; ‘initiating self-efficacy belief’, ‘sticking to self-efficacy belief’ and ‘striving to sustain self-efficacy belief’ subscales (19).

**Coopersmith Self-Esteem Scale:** Developed by Coopersmith (21) the scale’s adaptation to Turkish language was conducted by Turan and Tufan (22). Scale’s adult version consists of 25 items that had choices such as “like me” or “unlike me”. An increase in total score indicated an elevated level of self-esteem. In the scale each positive statement was given "4" points; each negative statement was given "0" point and the scale had a total score range between 0–100. In the reliability analysis, Turan and Tufan used the scale among 30 cancer patients with a mean age of 45 at 15-day breaks. In test-retest process of the scale reliability coefficient was measured as 0.65. In addition, at the end of test-retest process applied to 56 university students with a mean age of 20 the coefficient was measured as 0.76 at 15-day breaks. Scale’s correlation with Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale was computed as 0.62 (22).

**Beck Depression Inventory:** Developed by Beck, Ward, Mendelson, Mock and Erbaugh (23) the scale’s validity and reliability analysis was conducted in Turkey by Hisli (24). This is a self-report scale in which perceived depression symptoms are quantitatively assessed. Any item in the scale determines a behavioral pattern indicative of depression. The scale consists of 21 self-assessment statements that receive a score range between 0–3. Minimum score that can be received from Beck Depression Inventory is zero; maximum score is 63. An increase in score corresponds to a surge in depression symptoms. In reliability analysis of the inventory split-half reliability coefficient was computed as 0.74, in item analysis Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was computed as 0.80. In concurrent validity analysis, together with BDI,

---

### Table 2: Data on the clinical features of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis age</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mastectomy</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>48.17</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstructive surgery</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40.56</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>45.90</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgery age</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mastectomy</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstructive surgery</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41.03</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>46.48</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of surgery (month)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mastectomy</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>40.41</td>
<td>38.05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstructive surgery</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>38.28</td>
<td>30.51</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>39.78</td>
<td>35.83</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mastectomy</th>
<th>Reconstructive surgery</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgery type</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mastectomy</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified radical mastectomy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard Deviation
MMPI depression subscale was applied and correlation coefficient in between was measured as 0.50 (24).

**Study Procedure**

After obtaining required approvals of University Ethics Committee (2017/41) and Provincial Directorate of Health (604.01.02), mastectomy and post-mastectomy reconstructive operation patients having applied to Medical Oncology Clinic and Breast Policlinic of the City Hospital and healthy control group were given Informed Consent Forms. Data were collected gradually between January and July in 2018.

**Statistical Analysis**

To prevent any data loss, items of the scale were read by the researcher and marked by the participants. Implementation process of scales lasted around 30 minutes. Collected data were analyzed via IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) program. Before the analyses, normality, linearity, homogeneity and collinearity status of data were examined; it was then identified that assumptions of parametric tests were violated. Hence in all the analyses nonparametric tests such as Mann-Whitney U, Kruskal-Wallis H and Spearman Rho correlation techniques were harnessed.

**RESULTS**

**Depression, Self-Esteem and Self-Efficacy Beliefs of Mastectomy Patients, Post-Mastectomy Reconstructive Patients and Healthy Control Group**

In order to test if a significant difference existed among groups with respect to depression scores, Kruskal-Wallis H test was performed and a significant difference was identified among groups ($\chi^2(2)=41.22, p=0.000, \eta^2=0.165$). Mean rank of mastectomy group (162.96) was significantly higher than the mean rank of reconstructive surgery patients (107.39) and healthy group (99.71). In addition, it was identified that this difference also had a large effect size. In this study; although it was indicated that age, education level and income level could be confounding variables and it was planned to use Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA), the analysis was not performed since assumptions of ANCOVA were violated. Even though assumptions could not be met, age, education level and income level were taken as control variables, and ANCOVA was performed to test the difference between depression levels of groups. It was seen that the same differentiation that we found in Kruskal-Wallis H test surfaced again.

So as to test if a significant difference existed between groups with respect to self-esteem scores Kruskal-Wallis H test was applied and a significant difference was tracked among groups ($\chi^2(2)=72.17, p=0.000, \eta^2=0.296$). Mean rank of mastectomy group (64.20) was found to be significantly lower than the mean ranks of reconstructive surgery patients (147.52) and healthy group (145.75). In addition it was identified that this difference also had a large effect size.

So as to test if a significant difference existed among groups with respect to self-efficacy scores Kruskal-Wallis H test was applied and significant differences were found in between the groups ($\chi^2(2)=93.47, p=0.000, \eta^2=0.386$). Mean rank of mastectomy group (56.89) was found to be significantly lower than the mean ranks of reconstructive surgery patients (164.27) and healthy group (145.84). In addition it was identified that this difference also had a large effect size.

Although it was indicated that in self-esteem and self-efficacy analyses, age, depression, education level and income level could be confounding variables and it was planned to use Multivariate Analysis of Covariance (MANCOVA), the analysis was not performed since assumptions of MANCOVA were violated. Even though assumptions could not be met, in order to check whether or not analysis results changed, age, depression, education level and income level were taken as control variables; by taking self-esteem and self-efficacy scores as dependent variables it was tested if a significant difference existed in between the groups. It was seen that the same differentiation that we found in Kruskal-Wallis H test surfaced again.
Depression, Self-Esteem and Self-Efficacy Beliefs with Respect to Surgery Type

Mann-Whitney U test revealed that depression mean rank (58.45) of total mastectomy patients was significantly higher than mean rank (30.44) of modified radical mastectomy patients (U=364.5, p=0.001, $\eta^2=0.109$). Mann-Whitney U test yielded that self-esteem mean rank (49.04) of total mastectomy patients was significantly lower than the mean rank (80.26) of modified radical mastectomy patients (U=318.50, p=0.000, $\eta^2=0.135$). Mann-Whitney U test showed that self-efficacy mean rank (51.19) of total mastectomy patients was significantly lower than the mean rank (68.88) of modified radical mastectomy patients (U=512.0, p=0.031, $\eta^2=0.043$).

Depression, Self-Esteem and Self-Efficacy Beliefs with Respect to Treatment Combinations After Diagnosis

Treatments after a diagnosis are provided as chemotherapy (CT), radiotherapy (RT), hormonal therapy (HT) and surgical operation (O). These treatments are applied in four different combinations as CT+O, CT+RT+O, CT+HT+O and CT+RT+HT+O. In order to test if any significant difference existed among these four treatment combinations with respect to depression scores, Kruskal-Wallis H test was performed and a significant difference was then detected among groups ($\chi^2(3)=13.14$, $p=0.004$, $\eta^2=0.384$). Depression-score mean rank (26.29) of patients having received CT+HT+O treatment combination was found to be significantly lower than the patients having received other treatment combinations.

In order to test if any significant difference existed among treatment combinations with respect to self-esteem scores, Kruskal-Wallis H test was applied and a significant difference was then detected among groups ($\chi^2(3)=16.58$, $p=0.001$, $\eta^2=0.132$). Self-esteem score mean rank (79.89) of patients having received CT+HT+O treatment combination was found to be significantly higher than the patients having received other treatment combinations.

In order to test if any significant difference existed among treatment combinations with respect to self-efficacy scores, Kruskal-Wallis H test was conducted and a significant difference was then detected among groups ($\chi^2(3)=21.24$, $p=0.000$, $\eta^2=0.177$). Self-efficacy score mean rank (88.57) of patients having received CT+HT+O treatment combination was found to be significantly higher than the patients having received other treatment combinations.

Reconstructive Operation Age and Level of Satisfaction with the Reconstructive Operation

There was not an identified significant relationship between reconstructive operation age and level of satisfaction with the reconstructive operation ($\rho=-0.10$, $p=0.581$, $n=32$).

DISCUSSION

The findings of the research concluded that there was a parallelism with respect to mean age, diagnosis age, surgery age, duration after the surgery and surgery type between mastectomy patients and post-mastectomy reconstructive operation patients. In the research the fact that diagnosis age was 40 and above draws parallelism with previous findings indicating that breast cancer climbed with older age (25) and risk for getting breast cancer tended to increase sharply (4). It was detected in both groups that ratio of performing total mastectomy was higher than modified radical mastectomy. Sertöz Önen, Elbi Mete, Noyan, Alper, and Kapkaç’s findings revealing that total mastectomy is a more prevalent procedure in early-stage breast-cancer surgery are similar to the findings of our research (26). As for marital status it is seen that a majority of mastectomy patients and post-mastectomy reconstructive operation patients are married and this finding is in line with the literature (27-29). Our research concluded that mastectomy patients were elementary and secondary education graduates; reconstructive operation patients were high-school graduates. Our findings on mastectomy patients are similar to Matrai et al’s research findings (28); different studies reveal that there is variability in the education levels of reconstructive operation patients (27,29,30). Patients in both groups were found to have middle
socioeconomic level. This is a finding similar to Kim et al’s research results (31). Research results of Lee et al (27), Tsai, Kuo and Chung demonstrate that mastectomy patients had low socioeconomic level (29); Hvisom et al and Morrow et al’s findings show that post-mastectomy reconstructive patients have higher socioeconomic level but these findings are in contradiction with our research findings (30,32). Besides the study conducted in Turkey by Sertöz et al showed that patients with total mastectomy had lower education and income levels compared to reconstructive operation patients (26).

In our research findings, depression level of mastectomy patients was above the level of post-mastectomy reconstructive patients and healthy group. Research data of Al-Ghazal, Fallowfield and Blamey are identical to our findings on depression (11). They report that depression level of mastectomy patients is higher than post-mastectomy reconstruction patients and breast-conserving surgery patients. Research results of Al-Ghazal, Sully, Fallowfield and Blamey, Rubino, Figus, Lorettu and Sechi, Sun et al support our findings (14,33,34). On the other hand in the study of Harcourt et al, it was seen that compared to reconstructive operation patients, there is only one-year lasting depression in mastectomy patients and at the end of one year depression levels become identical (35).

Analyses demonstrate that among mastectomy patients, post-mastectomy reconstructive operation patients and healthy control group, a significant difference existed with respect to self-esteem scores. It was detected that compared to other groups self-esteem level of mastectomy patients were lower. In relevant literature a number of studies are in line with our findings. In the study of Al-Ghazal, Fallowfield and Blamey analyzing the effects of surgery operations performed on breast cancer patients, it surfaced that mastectomy patients had lower levels of self-esteem (11). Also in the studies conducted by Ha and Cho, Sun et al, it was apparent that mastectomy patients had, compared to other surgery patients, lower self-esteem levels (12,14).

With respect to self-efficacy scores a significant difference existed among mastectomy patients, post-mastectomy reconstructive operation patients and healthy control group. In mastectomy patients a lower level of self-efficacy belief was measured. If we examine this finding in relation to the insight that mastectomy patients have a higher depression level, it can be concluded that self-efficacy belief and depression affect one another in a significant ratio. At the end of Foster et al’s study, it surfaced that low self-efficacy belief is in connection with the pessimism which stems from depression (16). Adachi, Ueno, Fujioka, Fujitomi and Ueo on the other hand manifested that self-efficacy belief is a vital variable in depression therapy (36).

It is determined that a significant difference existed among depression, self-esteem and self-efficacy scores with respect to surgery type. In relevant literature a number of studies indicate that among breast-cancer patients, applied surgery techniques can leave psychological effects on a patient (11,14,31,37,38). In this study too, it was identified that compared to modified radical mastectomy patients, total mastectomy patients had higher level of depression, lower self-esteem and self-efficacy beliefs. In Turkey a research by Akça, Ata, Nayır, Erdoğan and Arıcan showed that unlike total mastectomy patients, modified radical mastectomy patients entertained better cognitive, affective and social functions (39). It is viable to argue that the operation is effective on one’s depression level which in effect can impact self-esteem and self-efficacy beliefs.

According to the results of analyses, applied treatment combinations after a diagnosis have significant effects on depression, self-esteem and self-efficacy scores. It surfaced that patients having received “chemotherapy + hormonal therapy and surgical operation (CT+HT+O)” demonstrated lower depression symptoms, higher levels of self-esteem and self-efficacy beliefs when compared with other therapies. It can thus be argued that after a diagnosis optimal treatment procedure is “chemotherapy + hormonal therapy and surgical operation”. Recent studies have shown that chemotherapy and hormonal therapy combination increased one’s life span (40). After radiotherapy the patient undergoes severe physical pains and burns (41,42). It is suggested that such long-term side effects in radiotherapy may have a stronger devastating effect on patients.
Our research concluded that not any significant relationship existed between reconstruction age and satisfaction level with the reconstruction procedure. In contrast to the finding of our research, Al-Ghazal, Sully, Fallowfield et al detected that as reconstruction age rose, satisfaction level with the reconstruction procedure also increased (33).

Limitations and Implications for the Future Research

Despite being a pioneering study in dealing with self-efficacy beliefs of mastectomy and post-mastectomy reconstructive operation patients, this study still has its own limitations. Sample of this research consists of breast-cancer patients having applied to the Medical Oncology Clinic and Breast Policlinic in a local City Hospital. Hence findings of our study can hardly be generalized. It is suggested to implement similar studies across large-scale samples both in Turkey and in other countries. Second important limitation is that although people who were taken any psychiatric diagnosis were excluded from study group, antidepressant treatment use which could strongly affect the evaluated psychological properties in the study population was not examined. Third, data were collected gradually between January and July in 2018. However, time distance between operation date and administration date of scales were different among patients. It should be considered that post-operative scale scores are probably time-dependent. For instance, BDI scores would be different for the same patient when applied three days after and one month after the surgical procedure. Finally, in relevant literature it is seen that a myriad of studies examined self-esteem and depression level of breast cancer patients.

Our finding, which losing breast diminished patient’s self-efficacy belief in a breast-cancer treatment, should be retested in future studies. In breast-cancer treatment it is suggested that future studies shed more light on the effects of applied surgical operations on patients. Also it would be beneficial to further analyze CT+HT+O treatment combination obtained in this study in regard to causing identical depression, self-esteem and self-efficacy effects on other samplings too.
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